



**Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP**

51 West 52nd Street  
New York, NY 10019-6142

+1 212 506 5000

**orrick.com**

June 19, 2025

***Via CM/ECF***

Jarrett B. Perlow  
Clerk of the Court  
United States Court of Appeals for  
the Federal Circuit  
717 Madison Place, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20439

**E. Joshua Rosenkranz**

**E** jrosenkranz@orrick.com

**D** +1 212 506 5380

**F** +1 212 506 5151

Re: *Google LLC v. Sonos, Inc.*, No. 24-1097  
Notice of Supplemental Authority under Fed. R. App. P. 28(j)

Dear Mr. Perlow:

Defendant-Appellant Sonos, Inc. writes to inform the Court that the panel in *Sonos, Inc. v. Google LLC*, No. 23-2040 (Fed. Cir.), issued an opinion yesterday affirming the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's decision that claims 1-2, 6-14, 18-25, and 27-29 of Sonos's U.S. Patent No. 9,967,615 are unpatentable as obvious. After the mandate issues in No. 23-2040, all of the '615 patent's claims that Sonos asserted against Google in this case will be invalid. The opinion in No. 23-2040 does not affect the Court's consideration of Sonos's U.S. Patent No. 10,779,033 in this case, as discussed at pages 71-80 of Sonos's opening brief and pages 35-39 of Sonos's reply brief.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ E. Joshua Rosenkranz

E. Joshua Rosenkranz

*Counsel for Appellant Sonos, Inc.*

Enclosure

cc: Counsel of record (via CM/ECF)